

may face a legal battle after cases of cancer were diagnosed at Amoco's Naparville re

Cancer timebomb reat in BP dea

By William Lowther and Simon Fluendy

OIL giant BP did not tell shareholders about a potential multi-billion pound legal lia-bility when it merged with

muni-oninon pound legal lishility when it merged with Amoco last August.

It left them in the dark about an outbreak of rare brain tumours at Amoco's Naperville chemical research centre near Chicago.

About 8,000 people who worked at the site in the Seventies and Eighties have been screened and about 20 cases of cancer identified, including seven of an extremely rare form of brain cancer called glicons.

The incidence is eight times higher than in the general population, and 10 legal actions have already been filed.

By says it did not disclose details in the merger documents after deciding that the financial lishilities looked insignificant against the value of the £6' billion deal.

But Grant Dixon, an Illinois lawyer representing the 10 people claiming damages from BP Amoco, said that there is a direct

US legal actions 'may cost oil giant billions'

parallel to lung cancer and asbestosis cases that have created massive liabilities for US firms. Dixon added: It would be conservative to say that BP Amoco is looking at hundreds of millions of dollars in compensation and damages. It could go into billions. This is a very big deal.

By the time of last year's approach from BP, Amoco had invested millions of pounds in investigating the situation at the Naperville research centre.

It sealed off a laboratory identified as a common factor in a number of cases and built models of the site to look at airflows. It also drilled boreholes to test the ground and even used dummies with nose and mouth sensors to test vepour.

A report is due this month after a two-year investigation by researchers from John Hopkins University in Baltimore, Maryland, and the University of

Alabama at Birmingham. The Naperville centre has earned Amoco billions of dollars with developments that include the raw material for making polyester, oil additives and plastics used in cer lights.

Jim Lowry, head of the BP Amoco taskforce investigating the brain tumours, said: We have a very unusual pattern of brain cancer here.

BP agreed that it was told of the situation at Naperville during merger talks. But a spokesman said that investigations had not found an explanation for the deaths and illnesses. We are awaiting the outcome of the Alabama and John Hopkins' studies; he said.

The spokesman added that if the company was found liable for the illnesses it would compensate victims or their families.

'Our lawyers took the view it was not likely to be significant in

terms of the merger — it is a judgment call.

'Amoco did not make any separate financial provision for such a possibility nor has the merged errous.

rate financial provision for such a possibility nor has the merged group.

It is quite common to have lots of legal cases and not mention all of them.

Last week, the 10 cases already filed against BP Annoes were combined to be heard by a single judge — a vital step on the way to preparing a class action such an those filed against tobacco companies or in the case of asbestosia.

BP is also threatened by possible legal section from alleged victims of Amoor refinery pollution in the towns of Independence and Sugar Creek, Missouri.

A study issued last month by the Missouri Department of Health found 15 cases of brain cancer in areas allegedly affected by the refinery — more than double the number expected. Again, class action suits are threatened.

But BP's merger document reveals only that BP faces cases from the Exxon Valdez oil disaster and that Amoor is in a legal battle with US tax sauthorities. It

BP's legal timebomb

adds: Tior so far as the directors are aware, are any proceedings pending or threatened which may have a significant effect

on BP's financial position.'
City lawyer Tim Stocks
of Taylor Joynson Garrett said that the omission laid BP directors, including chairman Sir John Browne, open to 'possible criminal and civil liablities', He said: 'If I was

He said: 'II I was handling this, my instinct would be to disclose. Even if the company was insured, I would have set out the liability.'
A US law professor who

asked not to be named said the cancer victims might have problems proving liability. There are examples of cancers appearing to clusters appearing in consters without explanation. Because of the uncertainty, claims will probably be settled out of court for substantial, but not crippling damages.'

Our charges are alrout the lowest you'll find. No initial charges and an annual management fee of just 1% Pay more and you may have to work up to two years longer.

vice Ltd is regulated by the Personal Inwithvent furtherity. The price of units and any income from them can go down as well as up and you may not get bec as recognized and associated associated. "Societies Manage Management Authors 1986."