# **Emerging Toxic Torts**

Box 62090 • King of Prussia, PA 19406-0230 • (610) 768-7800 • fax (610) 962-4991

Volume 8, Issue #10 August 18, 1999

PESTICIDES / FUNGICIDES	
9th Circuit: Fraudulent Inducement Claim Allowed In Benlate Suit	
Court finds claim not barred by release language in settlement agreement	_
Groundwater contaminated by DBCP pesticides, California complaint alleges	
Minnesota court affirms dismissal of pesticide exposure, property damage suit	4
distribution pesticide exposure, property damage suit	4
CHEMICALS / FUMES	
Mississippi Supreme Court Affirms Dismissal Of Dioxin Suit	
High court panel finds evidence that a pulp mill factory caused contamination	_
New York court excludes causation testimony, dismisses PCB exposure suit	
Amoco employee asserts in Illinois complaint that he was allowed asserts in Illinois complaint that he was allowed asserts in Illinois complaint that he was allowed asserts and the same allowed asserts are the same allowed as a second asserts and the same allowed as a second as a s	8
Amoco employee asserts in Illinois complaint that he was exposed to toxic fumes	10
New Jersey Supreme Court remands wolding from a prosure cases	11
New Jersey Supreme Court remands welding fume exposure case	12
11th Circuit remands chemical sensitivity, sick building complaint	15
Montana high court: Cross-claims precluded in carbon monoxide exposure suit	16
MTBE	
California City Alleges MTBE Defendants Contaminated Drinking Water	
City sues manufacturers formulators distributors designated by the company of the	
City sues manufacturers, formulators, distributors, designers and retailers of MTBE	17
NOISE POLLUTION	
Michigan Court: Noise, Vibration Claims Can't Stand Under Trespass Theory	_
Finds Michigan law does not recognize a cause of action in trespass for intangible agents	1.0
Texas court finds nuisance claims are permanent injuries	18
state claims are permanent injuries	19
ENDOCRINE DISRUPTERS	
Exposure To High Doses Of Endocrine Disrupters Harmful, Study Finds	
Research Council calls for more studies on harm posed by low concentration exposures	22
on harm posed by low concentration exposures	42
RADIATION	
Third-Party Complaint Against Insurers In Public Liability Action Dismissed	
Pennsylvania magistrate judge recommends dismissing radiation exposure action	23
	20
OTHER NEWS	
Ohio Supreme Court Rules State Tort Reform Law Unconstitutional	
Court says H.B. 350 usurps judicial power in violation of separation of powers	24
El A seeks to increase reporting of lead released into the environment	25
Mediey's schedules first sick building syndrome conference for November	25
Mealey's inaugural Judges and Lawyers' conference on docket for November	26
Mealey's information technology conference set Oct. 18-19 in Chicago	26
TOXIC EXTRAS	
Indiana Award Against Owens Corning Reversed	
Also, other news from asbestos, breast implants, fen-phen, insurance, lead and tobacco	27
COMMENTARY	
Of Mice And Men: On Biological Plausibility	
Lowenstein Sandler's Michael Rodburg discusses biology's relationship to causation	30

Vol. 8, #10

August 18, 1999

## Amoco Employee Claims Intentional Exposure To Highly Toxic Fumes

CHICAGO — An Amoco Oil Co. employee alleges in a July 14 amended complaint that he was intentionally exposed to highly toxic odors, scents, smells, gases, fumes and vapors (Irwin S. Ginsburg v. Amoco Oil Co., et al., No. 98-L-12383, Ill. Cir., Cook Co.).

(Text of Complaint in Section C. Mealey's Document #15-990818-107.)

From 1970 through 1972, Irwin Ginsburg worked at Amoco Research Center Building 503 (ARC-503), where he said he was exposed.

Defendants are Amoco Oil Co., Amoco Research Operating Co., Amoco Corp., Research Properties Inc., Amoco Service Corp., Amoco Oil Research Corp., Amoco Research Corp. and Amoco Chemical Co.

According to the complaint filed in the Illinois Circuit Court for Cook County, the Amoco entities researched, experimented, analyzed, created, investigated, manufactured, designed, packaged, distributed and sold

chemicals, formulations and petroleum products. Ginsburg maintains that during the intentional creation of these chemicals, Amoco knew that chemical reactions would expose employees to odors, scents, smells, gases, fumes and vapors.

#### Claims Asserted

Ginsburg asserts claims for intentional infliction of emotional distress, battery, nuisance, intentional tort, negligence, strict liability, fraudulent concealment and equitable estoppel.

According to the complaint, the Amoco entities created and maintained a nuisance by (1) creating highly toxic and injurious odors, (2) improperly venting highly toxic and injurious odors, scents, smells, gases, fumes and vapors, (3) failing to warn of the existence of highly toxic odors, (4) intentionally withholding knowledge of the toxic and injurious nature of the air at ARC-503 and (5) consciously choosing to created a toxic environment and consciously choosing not to inform the workers, among other things.

"At the time defendants researched, analyzed, investigated, created, manufactured, designed, installed, distributed, and/or sold ventilation components and/or systems including, but not

# www.mealeys.com

### **UPDATED DAILY!**

- The top story from each of our reports
- The complete editorial section from selected reports
  - Plus a complete conference calendar, extensive legal links page and much more

which bearing DA

limited to, heating, ventilating, and air conditioning equipment for ARC-503, each knew or should have known that during the creation of these chemical reactions, odors, scents, smells, gases, fumes, and vapors would be created and each of the defendants exposed employees," the complaint alleges.

Counsel for Ginsburg include G. Grant Dixon and Tilden Katz of Corboy & Demetrio in Chicago. Defense counsel include John V. Smith II, Richard William Austin and Scott L. Howie of Pretzel & Stoufer in Chicago. ■